

1 **WO**

2  
3  
4  
5 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
7

8 United States of America, )  
9 Plaintiff, ) No. 08-7105M  
10 vs. )  
11 Jose Victor Quiroa-Arias, ) **ORDER**  
12 Defendant. )  
13

14 Having considered the Motion of the Defendant to allow the Government additional time  
15 under the Speedy Trial Act to file an indictment, together with the Government's response to  
16 that motion, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the extension outweigh  
17 the best interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

18 In making this finding, the Court has considered each of the factors specified in 18  
19 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B). In addition, the Court has considered the following:

- 20 1. Counsel has only recently been appointed for the Defendant.
- 21 2. The Government's policy, which is well known to the Court through proceedings in  
22 previous cases involving numerous defendants, provides a sentencing incentive to encourage  
23 early pleas of guilty in cases brought pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1326.
- 24 3. The savings in time by expediting the proceedings in appropriate cases serves the  
25 public interest by reducing the costs of detention, prosecution and defense as well as in bringing  
26 such charges to a prompt resolution.
- 27 4. The avoidance of grand jury proceedings with the voluntary consent of a defendant,  
28 when appropriate, reduces the number of proceedings required to bring a criminal matter to a  
prompt resolution.

6. In order to allow the Defendant's attorney an opportunity to investigate possible defenses to a charge in a criminal complaint and to adequately counsel the Defendant, additional time is often needed for the filing of an indictment or information beyond the thirty (30) day period provided in the Speedy Trial Act. The Government's standard offer requires the Defendant to waive his right to grand jury proceedings and agree to proceed by the filing of an information. If the Defendant does not agree to this procedure within the time allowed by statute, the Government would withdraw the plea offer.

7. Disposition of immigration cases through the pre-indictment plea offer results in an expeditious resolution of the case. Granting a thirty (30) day continuance allows defense counsel time to assure that the plea offer is in the Defendant's best interest.

13           The Court therefore concludes that the ends of justice are best served by granting an  
14 extension of time to present the case to the grand jury and in excluding a period of thirty (30)  
15 days under the Speedy Trial Act. In making this determination, the Court has particularly taken  
16 into account that the failure to grant the Defendant's request "would deny counsel for the  
17 defendant. . .the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the  
18 exercise of due diligence." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

20                   **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that excludable time shall begin to run on the 31st day  
21 after arrest for a period of thirty (30) days in which the Government may present the case to the  
22 grand jury.

23 DATED this 21<sup>st</sup> day of March, 2008.



28